

CIR TWG
COMMENTS SHEET – comments by EPSM

Comment N°: each author please number per ITEM

Comment ID: T: Technical Comment; E: Editorial Comment; G: General Comment

Document:					
SEPA-FAST Part 1, Version 0.90					
Comment (N° / ID).	Reference to ITEM	Author/ Company	Comments (problem and reason)	Suggested Resolution (alternative)	Agreed Resolution (Workgroup)
1 / G	7.2.2 pg. 112	N. Adolph EPSM	Are “Chip only cards” foreseen?	Include at least a comment	
2 / G	8.2.6 pg. 137	N. Adolph EPSM	What about Multi-Acquirer-Ranking in case of several acquirers per application?	This option should be foreseen.	
3 / G	8.2.6 pg. 140	N. Adolph EPSM	What means exactly “Acquirer pre-selection”?	Include at least a brief definition	
4 / G	8.2.7 pg. 143 and 13.2.4 pg. 213	N. Adolph EPSM	What about an absolute maximum limit of offline-transactions per terminal?	Such a limit should be included – or specified outside this specification	
5 / G	8.2.8 pg. 147	N. Adolph EPSM	Changing of cardholder language also during transaction	This should become at least optional, at least to English (e.g. in case you get lost in a Greek card holder menu)?	
6 / E	General	N. Adolph EPSM	Please introduce a glossary with a short definitions	Please add	
7 / E	General	N. Adolph EPSM	Please start the numbering at the first page with page 1 – this is much easier for partial printouts	Please change	
8 / T	11.2.5 pg. 188	N. Adolph EPSM	Holds this logic also for “CVM=signature”, “CVM=signature pad” and “no CVM” (e.g. toll roads)?	Please check with market participants.	

**CIR TWG
COMMENTS SHEET – comments by EPSM**

Document:					
SEPA-FAST Part 1, Version 0.90					
Comment (N° / ID).	Reference to ITEM	Author/ Company	Comments (problem and reason)	Suggested Resolution (alternative)	Agreed Resolution (Workgroup)
9 / T	8.2.4 pg. 143	N. Adolph EPSM	It is not possible, to configure the product display different from the application label or application preferred name. For EAPS-like transactions this might give confusing displays for cardholder and merchant.	The product display should be IIN configurable, together with some default setting.	
10 / T	11.2.1 pg. 187	N. Adolph EPSM	early PIN-entry not possible	This should be an option.	
11 / T	11.2.2 pg. 189	N. Adolph EPSM	possibility to abort a transaction if CVM is not supported	This should be an option.	
12 / T	General	N. Adolph EPSM	check of PAN to track 2 equivalent-data	This should be an option.	
13 / T	8.2.2 pg. 136	N. Adolph EPSM	If an AID profile is not available, it should not be displayed to the card holder.	The specification should be changed.	
14 / T	8 pg 117 -	N. Adolph EPSM	In case of negative “application selection check” no fallback to the application selection is done. A completely new transaction has to be started.	The specification should be changed to have a fallback option.	
15 / T	6.2.2.1 pg. 43	N. Adolph EPSM	A tip increase by an „increase-amount“ should be possible before the authorization.	This should be an option.	
16 / T	6.2.2.3 pg. 66	N. Adolph EPSM	Initialisation of a cancellation by alternative values like reference number, PAN, last transaction, so that the AID can be set automatically	This should be an option.	
17 / T	6.2.2.4 pg. 71	N. Adolph EPSM	Multiple pre-Authorisations (reservations) for one card.	This should be an option.	
18 / T	26 pg. 418	N. Adolph EPSM	data objects for cardholder copies should be configurable	This should be an option.	

CIR TWG
COMMENTS SHEET – comments by EPSM

Document:					
SEPA-FAST Part 1, Version 0.90					
Comment (N° / ID).	Reference to ITEM	Author/ Company	Comments (problem and reason)	Suggested Resolution (alternative)	Agreed Resolution (Workgroup)